Interview Summary

Application No. 09/425,694

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Charlotte A. Brown

Group Art Unit

1765

Brunner et al.



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Charlotte A. Brown	(3)
(2) Ed Freeman	(4)
Date of Interview Jul 12, 2001	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1	
Identification of prior art discussed: Pirooz (EP 0701275)	
any other comments: The proposed amendments of 'an aqueous HF solution only and 'an aqueous HCl solution only containing HCl' were discontained will consider the proposed amendments.	g) was not reached. h) N/A. nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or containing HF', 'an aqueous O3 solution only containing O3', cussed which were asserted to distinguish over the prior art.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM.	Iments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST EP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has bow this interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.